

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/657,126	09/09/2003	Jean-Francois Bouquet	P06155US02/BAS 9209	
7:	590 06/16/2006		EXAMINER	
Judy Jarecki-Black, Ph.D., J.D.			ZEMAN, ROBERT A	
Merial LTD. 3239 Satellite B	Blvd.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Duluth, GA 30096			1645	
			DATE MAILED: 06/16/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/657,126	BOUQUET ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Robert A. Zeman	1645		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
WHI( - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. sely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠ 2a)□ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18 De</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposit	ion of Claims				
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> are subject to restriction and/or expressions.	vn from consideration.			
Applicat	ion Papers				
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachmen	t(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO 413)		
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Infor	te of References Cited (PTO-092) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da			

Application/Control Number: 10/657,126 Page 2

Art Unit: 1645

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-10 and 27-29 (in part), drawn to methods of producing viruses utilizing an avian cell line comprising avian cells that are immortalized but untransformed and which comprise an anti-apoptotic bcl-2 gene in their genome, classified in class 435, subclass 235.1.
- II. Claims 11-19, drawn to untransformed, immortalized avian cells that contain a nucleic acid molecule encoding an anti-apoptotic protein, classified in class 435, subclass 349.
- III. Claims 20-23, drawn to untransformed, immortalized avian cells that contain a nucleic acid molecule encoding an anti-apoptotic protein and a heterologous nucleotide sequence, classified in class 435, subclass 325.
- IV. Claims 24-26, drawn to untransformed, immortalized avian cells that contain a nucleic acid molecule encoding an anti-apoptotic protein and further infected by a virus, classified in class 435, subclass 325.
- V. Claims 27-29 (in part), drawn to methods of producing viruses utilizing an avian cell line comprising avian cells that are immortalized but untransformed and which comprise an anti-apoptotic gene other than the bcl-2 gene in their genome, classified in class 435, subclass 235.1.
- VI. Claims 30-33, drawn to methods of producing a viral peptide, protein or glycoprotein utilizing untransformed, immortalized avian cells that contain a

Application/Control Number: 10/657,126

Art Unit: 1645

nucleic acid molecule encoding an anti-apoptotic protein, classified in class 435, subclass 69.1.

## Apoptotic Protein Election Requirement Applicable to Groups II-IV

In addition, Groups II-IV detailed above read on patentably distinct microorganisms. Each organism containing a nucleic acid encoding a given anti-apoptotic protein is patentably distinct and a further restriction is applied to each group. Hence Applicant must further elect an anti-apoptotic protein if Group II, III or IV is elected. If Group IV is elected, Applicant must further elect the virus that is infecting the claimed cells. (See MPEP 803.04).

Applicant is advised that examination will be restricted to only the elected enzyme/product combination and should not to be construed as a species election.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I, V and VI are each separate and distinct from each other as they are drawn to differing methods having different steps, different goals and leading to differing results.

Inventions II, III and IV are separate and distinct from each other, as they comprise differing biochemical and immunological entities having differing properties and uses. Each invention constitutes a patentably distinct cell types.

Inventions III and IV are each separate and distinct from Inventions I, V and VI, as the cells of Inventions III and IV cannot be used in the methods of Inventions I, V or VI.

Inventions II and I are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the cells of Invention II can be used produce a viral peptide.

Inventions II and V are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the

Application/Control Number: 10/657,126

Art Unit: 1645

product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the cells of Invention II can be used produce a viral peptide.

Inventions II and VI are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the cells of Invention II can be used for virus propagation.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and

Application/Control Number: 10/657,126

Art Unit: 1645

specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction

Application/Control Number: 10/657,126 Page 6

Art Unit: 1645

requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. **Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.** 

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert A. Zeman whose telephone number is (571) 272-0866. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday- Thursday, 7am -5:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynette Smith can be reached on (571) 272-0864. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/657,126 Page 7

Art Unit: 1645

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ROBERT ZEMAN

June 13, 2006